IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVN.APPLN.NO.94 OF 2007

Amjad Nurmahammad Jamadar ..Applicant

Vs.

Kakaso Tatyaso Patil
and Anr. ..Respondents

...

Mr.Sachin B.Shetye advocate for applicant
Ms.Poonam Kandale advocate for respondent no.1-original complainant.
Mr.Rajesh More A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : APRIL 11, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant-original accused, learned advocate for the respondent no.1-original complainant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The complainant is present in Court.

2. The applicant has been convicted under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act by the learned JMFC Ichalkaranji. The appeal preferred by the applicant came to be dismissed by the learned District Judge at Ichalkaranji. Being aggrieved

thereby, this revision application has been preferred.

3. During the pendency of the revision, the applicant and the complainant have settled the matter amicably and have arrived at the consent terms. The parties have tendered the consent terms. The said consent terms are taken on record and marked ‘X’ for identification. Revision is disposed of in terms of consent terms. In view of the consent terms, the proceedings relating to Sum.Cri.Case No.63 of 2002 under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, are quashed and the applicant is acquitted therein. The fine amount if any, deposited by the applicant-accused, be returned to the accused.

4. Application is disposed of accordingly.

[Smt. V.K.Tahilramani, J.]